





Market Design for integrating wind

Accessing flexibility through good market design

Dr Jenny Riesz April 2014









AECOM

Centre for Energy and Environmental Markets



Balancing costs

- Majority of the "integration costs" of variable renewables are in balancing costs
 - Managing increased variability & uncertainty
- Balancing costs are highly system specific



Balancing costs depend upon combination of:



THE UNIVERSITY OF NEW SOUTH WALES SYDNEY • AUSTRALIA

International Energy Agency (2014) "The Power of Transformation: Wind, Sun and the Economics of Flexible Power Systems"

Market design to minimise balancing costs

- Variability & uncertainty are managed via:
 - System dispatch processes
 - Reserves (eg. Frequency keeping, load following, regulation)





Market design to minimise balancing costs





Large: Maximise balancing area

- Optimise dispatch over full physically connected area
 - Balancing within smaller areas artificially increases reserve requirements
- Geographical smoothing of variable renewables & demand
- Reduce forecast errors
- More plant available to provide reserves & flexibility





















- Longer dispatch intervals "artificially" limit system flexibility
 - > 2/3 of US demand is now covered by 5min markets



Centre for Energy and ENVIRONMENT OF NEW SOUTH WALES

Fast: Minimise gate closure time interval

- Short delays from gate closure to dispatch minimise forecast errors
- Lower errors \rightarrow less reserves required \rightarrow lower costs





J. Riesz, J. Gilmore, M. Hindsberger, Market Design for the Integration of Variable Generation (Elsevier, March 2013) in "Evolution of Global Electricity Markets: New paradigms, new challenges, new approaches". Edited by Fereidoon P. Sioshansi.

Large & Fast

- Frequency keeping reserves are minimised by:
 - Expanding geographic area for balancing (whole grid optimised together)
 - Reducing gate closure times (2hrs \rightarrow 5min)
 - Reducing dispatch intervals (30min \rightarrow 5min)





International Energy Agency (2014) "The Power of Transformation: Wind, Sun and the Economics of Flexible Power Systems"





Frequency Keeping Ancillary Services

Determine quantity

Continuously & dynamically

Procurement

- From active markets (many participants)
- Co-optimise with dispatch every 5 minutes

Payment recovery

Causer Pays basis



Static frequency keeping reserve requirements

Region	Separate/ Combined	Rule
PJM	Combined	Based on 1% of the peak load during peak hours and 1% of the valley peak during off- peak hours.
NYISO	Combined	Set requirement based on weekday or weekend, hour of day, and season.
ERCOT	Separate	Based on 98.8th percentile of regulation utilized in previous 30 days of same month of previous year and adjusted by installed wind capacity.
CAISO	Separate	Use a requirement floor of 350-MW up and down regulating reserves which can be adjusted based on load forecast, must-run instructions, previous CPS performance, and interchange and generation schedule changes.
MISO	Combined	Requirement made once a day based on conditions and before the day-ahead market closes.
ISO NE	Combined	Based on month, hour of day, weekday/sat/sun.



Dynamic frequency keeping reserve



Set reserves dynamically to reduce costs



Centre for Energy and Environmental Markets

NREL, Enernex Corporation, Eastern Wind Integration and Transmission Study, 2011

Frequency Keeping reserve setting in the Australian NEM

- Set dynamically by the "time error"
 - Accumulated deviation of frequency over time from 50Hz
 - Will schedule more reserves if frequency deviates a lot, or for a long time



Only carry required reserves, automatically adjusts to wind variability, demand variability, inertia, etc.



Cost recovery

- Who pays for reserves?
 - In most systems all reserve costs are allocated to loads
 - Removes price signals for market participants to manage variability and uncertainty they add to the system



Payment for reserves (Australian NEM)





Causer Pays

Contribution factors: Deviation from expected dispatch Positive – Assisting in correcting system frequency Negative – Causing deviations in system frequency

Attribution of frequency keeping reserve costs:

Calculate "**contribution factors**" for each load/generator every 4 seconds

Generators/Loads with negative contribution factors pay relative proportion of reserve cost (aggregated over 1 month)

Aggregated by portfolio (beneficial operation of one unit can correct for deviations in another)



Benefits of causer pays methodology

More cost reflective signals

• To wind farms (and other generators)

Incentives to manage variability & uncertainty

- Select less variable sites or technologies?
- Self-imposed occasional curtailment to limit unanticipated ramps?

More economically efficient outcomes

• Stronger incentives to reduce variability when reserves are expensive

Technology neutral

- Eg. Biomass and landfill gas observed to be significant contributors to variability, pay their share of reserve costs
- Variable loads pay more



Other valuable aspects of market design:

Significant negative prices	 Reduce generation during oversupply events Reduce minimum loads 	
Technology neutrality - Dispatch	 Variable renewables fully participate in market dispatch process Equal footing with other generation types 	
Technology neutrality – frequency keeping reserves	 Variable renewables able to provide frequency control reserves (where technical capability demonstrated) 	
Single platform market	 No day ahead market (real time only) Reserves set dynamically in real time, based upon latest information 	
Enable Demand Side Response	 Access to demand side flexibility 	



Market design matters!

Minimising wind integration costs in NZ:

5min dispatch

• Minimise reserves \rightarrow Reduce costs

5min gate closure time

• Minimise forecast errors \rightarrow Reduce reserves \rightarrow Reduce costs

Real time frequency keeping market

- · Procure reserves dynamically & efficiently,
- Allocate costs on causer pays basis
- Allow variable renewables to provide frequency keeping (where technically viable)

Negative prices

· Avoid minimum load issues

Wind to fully participate in bidding

· Technology neutral participation in the market for economic efficiency







Thank you

jenny.riesz@gmail.com

www.ceem.unsw.edu.au

www.ceem.unsw.edu.au